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## Introduction

## (1) The Purpose and History of the Survey

The National Institute of Population and Social Security Research carried out the $13^{\text {th }}$ Japanese National Fertility Survey (National Survey on Marriage and Birth in Japan) in June 2005. The survey is conducted to determine the current situations and backgrounds, which are not available in other public statistics, of marriage and/or the fertility of married couples, and to obtain the basic data necessary for relevant polices and future population projections. The first (prewar) National Fertility Survey was carried out in 1940, followed by the second one (postwar) in 1952. After that, it has been conducted every five years investigating on marriage and birth of married couples. Since the $8^{\text {th }}$ Survey (1982), a survey of unmarried persons has been undertaken simultaneously with the survey of married couples. In the meantime, the $13^{\mathrm{th}}$ Survey was brought forward two years ahead of schedule to 2005 , in order to ensure high reliability of the analysis results by enabling comparison with the results of the 2005 Population Census of Japan on basic items. This report covers the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey on married couples.

## (2) Survey Procedures and Collection of Questionnaires

This is a national sample survey of wives of married couples under the age of 50 in Japan (i.e. the respondents are wives), as of June 2005. The survey areas were 700 districts selected by systematic sampling from the 1,056 districts surveyed in the Comprehensive Survey of Living Condition of the People on Health and Welfare, 2005 (carried out by the Statistics and Information Department of the Minister's Secretariat in the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare), which had been drawn by stratified random sampling from the districts covered in the 2000 Population Census. All married women residing in those districts under the age of 50 were the subjects of this survey.

This survey was carried out by distributing numbered questionnaires, which were later sealed and collected. Of the 7,976 distributed questionnaires (the number of subjects surveyed), 7,296 questionnaires were collected, yielding a response rate of $91.5 \%$ ( $92.9 \%$ for the previous survey). However, 460 of the collected questionnaires that were not properly filled-out were considered invalid and excluded from the total. Thus, the number of valid questionnaires was 6,836 , for a valid collection rate of $85.7 \%$ ( $87.8 \%$ for the previous survey) (Table 1). This report presents the results from 5,932 first-marriage couples (Table 2).

Table 1 The number of distributed questionnaires and the number/rate of valid collected questionnaires

| State of collection of questionnaires |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of subjects surveyed | 7,976 |
| Number of questionnaires | 7,296 (response rate $91.5 \%$ ) |
| Number of valid questionnaires | 6,836 (valid response rate $85.7 \%$ ) |

Table 2 Number of cases by basic attributes (for first-marriage couples)

| Age of wife | Number of cases | Duration of marriage | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | $3(0.1 \%)$ | Less than 5 years | $1,076(18.1 \%)$ |
| $20-24$ | $134(2.3)$ | $5-9$ | $1,240(20.9)$ |
| $25-29$ | $574(9.7)$ | $10-14$ | $1,305(22.0)$ |
| $30-34$ | $1,205(20.3)$ | $15-19$ | $1,090(18.4)$ |
| $35-39$ | $1,372(23.1)$ | $20-24$ | $905(15.3)$ |
| $40-44$ | $1,350(22.8)$ | 25 year or more | $265(4.5)$ |
| $45-49$ | $1,294(21.8)$ | Not known | $51(0.9)$ |
| Total | $5,932(100.0 \%)$ | Total | $5,932(100.0 \%)$ |

## 1. Getting Married

(1) Ages at first-marriage/first encounter and the length of courtship
The age of the first encounter is higher, the length of courtship has lengthened and the trend of later marriage further strengthened
The average age at which couples met for the first time has increased for both husbands and wives of
couples (Table 1-1, Figure 1-1). There is a continuing increase in the length of courtship (from the first encounter to marriage). The courtship period is 1.2 years ( $48 \%$ ) longer than 18 years ago ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Survey). As a result of these changes, the average age at first marriage has continued to rise, with continuing increase in the proportion of later marriage.

Table 1-1 Average age at first encounter and first marriage, average length of courtship, and the average difference in age of couples, by survey

| Survey (Year of survey) | Husband |  | Wife |  | Average length of courtship | Average difference in age of couples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average age at first encounter | Average age at first marriage | Average age at first encounter | Average age at first marriage |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 25.7 | 28.2 | 22.7 | 25.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 10th Survey(1992) | 25.4 | 28.3 | 22.8 | 25.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 11th Survey(1997) | 25.1 | 28.4 | 22.7 | 26.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 12th Survey(2002) | 24.9 | 28.5 | 23.2 | 26.8 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| 13th Survey(2005) | 25.3 | 29.1 | 23.7 | 27.4 | 3.8 | 1.7 |
| Love marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 24.1 | 27.3 | 21.6 | 24.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| 10th Survey(1992) | 24.2 | 27.6 | 21.9 | 25.3 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| 11th Survey(1997) | 24.2 | 27.9 | 22.1 | 25.7 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| 12th Survey(2002) | 24.2 | 28.0 | 22.7 | 26.5 | 3.8 | 1.5 |
| 13th Survey(2005) | 24.6 | 28.6 | 23.0 | 27.1 | 4.1 | 1.5 |

Note: The data are for first-marriage couples who were married during the five years prior to each survey (excluding couples whose processes of marriage were not known). See Table 1-2 for "Love Marriage." Number of cases (total/love marriage): $9^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,289 / 975), 10^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,342 / 1,102), 11^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,145 / 997), 12^{\text {th }}$ Survey ( $1,221 / 1,090$ ), $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey (885/774).

Figure 1－1 The average pattern of first marriage，by survey


Note：This is a graphic display of Table 1－1．

Table 1－2 Frequency distribution of the ways／places couples meet，by survey

| Survey （Survey year） | Total | Love marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arranged marriage | Other／Not known |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | At the workplace or through work | Through friends or siblings | At school | Around town or during a trip | Through a club or an adult education class | Through a part－time job | Childhood friend／neighbor |  |  |
| 8th Survey （1982） | 100.0 \％ | 25.3 \％ | 20.5 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 5.8 | － | 2.2 | 29.4 \％ | 2.5 \％ |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 100.0 | 31.5 | 22.4 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.3 | － | 1.5 | 23.3 | 2.7 |
| 10th Survey (1992) | 100.0 | 35.0 | 22.3 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 15.2 | 2.0 |
| 11th Survey (1997) | 100.0 | 33.5 | 27.0 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 9.7 | 3.1 |
| 12th Survey （2002） | 100.0 | 32.9 | 29.2 | 9.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 5.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13th Survey } \\ & (2005) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 6.8 |

Note：Subjects for this analysis are first－marriage couples who were married during the five years prior to each sur－ vey．＂Arranged marriage＂means＂Through an arranged introduction＂or＂Through a matrimonial agency．＂In the $8^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ surveys，＂Through a part－time job＂was not included in the options．Number of cases： $8^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,298), 9^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,421), 10^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,525), 11^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,304), 12^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,488), 13^{\text {th }}$ Survey $(1,076)$ ．

## （2）How do couples meet？

The number of couples who met at the workplace has decreased，and those who met through friends and siblings top the list
The most common way to meet a future spouse is＂Through friends／spouse，＂exceeding $30 \%$ for
the first time and overtaking＂At the workplace or through work＂as the top answer．This is followed by＂At school，＂accounting for about $10 \%$ ．These first three answers account for about $70 \%$ of how and where couples meet，indicating that the majority of couples meet in everyday activities（Table 1－2）．

Historical transformation from arranged marriage to love marriage
The results of the past seven surveys indicate that the process of marriage has gone through a major transformation during the 60 years after the war. The proportion of arranged marriage, which exceeded $70 \%$ in prewar times, has consistently fallen since then. In the late 1960 s , it was surpassed by love marriage, and has been below $10 \%$ of total marriages since the mid 1990s (Figure 1-2).

## 2. Fertility of Married Couples (1) Completed fertility (the final number of children a couple has)

The average number of children for married couples who have reached the end of reproductive age decreases to 2.09
Completed fertility of married couples (the average number of children of couples with 15-19 years of marriage) greatly declined after the war, and reached 2.2 in 1972 ( $6^{\text {th }}$ Survey). Since then, it had remained fairly stable at this level for almost three decades up until the current Survey, in which it declines to 2.09 . Since the couples in this generation were married in the later half of the 1980s, it is evident that the decline occurs in the process of childbearing of the couples married after this period (Table 2-1).

Figure 1-2 Changes in the frequency distribution of love marriage and arranged marriage, by year of marriage


Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. See Appendix Table 1 (at the end of the document) for the numeric values of each year.

Table 2-1 Completed fertility, by survey (Duration of Marriage: $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ years)

| Survey (Survey year) |  | Completed fertility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Survey | $(1940)$ | 4.27 |
| 2nd Survey | $(1952)$ | 3.50 |
| 3rd Survey | $(1957)$ | 3.60 |
| 4th Survey | $(1962)$ | 2.83 |
| 5th Survey | $(1967)$ | 2.65 |
| 6th Survey | $(1972)$ | 2.20 |
| 7th Survey | $(1977)$ | 2.19 |
| 8th Survey | $(1982)$ | 2.23 |
| 9th Survey | $(1987)$ | 2.19 |
| 10th Survey | $(1992)$ | 2.21 |
| 11th Survey | (1997) | 2.21 |
| 12th Survey | (2002) | 2.23 |
| 13th Survey | (2005) | $\mathbf{2 . 0 9}$ |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples who have been married for 15-19 years (excluding couples for whom the number of children is not known).

The number of couples with three children decreases, whereas that of couples with none or one child increases
The number of children couples have has hardly changed since the $7^{\text {th }}$ Survey; couples with 2 children make up the majority. This trend continues in the current Survey. However, in this Survey, the number of couples who have none or one child has slightly increased, whereas that of couples with three children has decreased (Table 2-2).

## (2) Number of children of couples in the childbearing period (number of children by duration of marriage)

The average number of children has decreased for couples married five years or more
Considering the average number of children by duration of marriage, a decrease is found among couples who have been married 5 years or longer. The number of births of the couples who have been married for less than five years has slightly increased, as it did in the previous survey (Table 2-3).

Table 2-2 Distribution of number of births, by survey (Duration of marriage: 15-19 years)

| Survey (Survey year) | None | 1 child | 2 children | 3 children | 4 children <br> or more | Completed fertility <br> $( \pm$ Standard Error) | Number of <br> cases) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7th Survey (1977) | $3.0 \%$ | 11.0 | 57.0 | 23.8 | 5.1 | $2.19 人$ | $( \pm 0.023)$ | $(1,427)$ |
| 8th Survey (1982) | 3.1 | 9.1 | 55.4 | 27.4 | 5.0 | 2.23 | $( \pm 0.022)$ | $(1,429)$ |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 2.7 | 9.6 | 57.8 | 25.9 | 3.9 | 2.19 | $( \pm 0.019)$ | $(1,755)$ |
| 10th Survey (1992) | 3.1 | 9.3 | 56.4 | 26.5 | 4.8 | 2.21 | $( \pm 0.019)$ | $(1,849)$ |
| 11th Survey (1997) | 3.7 | 9.8 | 53.6 | 27.9 | 5.0 | 2.21 | $( \pm 0.023)$ | $(1,334)$ |
| 12th Survey (2002) | 3.4 | 8.9 | 53.2 | 30.2 | 4.2 | 2.23 | $( \pm 0.023)$ | $(1,257)$ |
| 13th Survey (2005) | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{( \pm 0 . 0 2 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 0 7 8 )}$ |

Note: Same as Table 2-1. Data of the past surveys were re-calculated for the purpose of comparison. See Appendix
Table 2 (at the end of the document) for the number of births to the couples who have been married for less than 15 years.

Table 2-3 Average number of births, by duration of marriage

| Duration of <br> marriage | 7th Survey <br> $(1977)$ | 8th Survey <br> $(1982)$ | 9th Survey <br> $(1987)$ | 10th Survey <br> $(1992)$ | 1th Survey <br> $(1997)$ | 12th Survey <br> $(2002)$ | 13th Survey <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 0 5})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.75 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 0}$ |
| $5-9$ | 1.93 | 1.95 | 1.97 | 1.84 | 1.75 | 1.71 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 3}$ |
| $10-14$ | 2.17 | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.19 | 2.10 | 2.04 | $\mathbf{1 . 9 8}$ |
| $15-19$ | 2.19 | 2.23 | 2.19 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 2.23 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 9}$ |
| 20 years or longer | 2.30 | 2.24 | 2.3 | 2.21 | 2.24 | 2.32 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ |

[^0]
## (3) Comparison by wives'birth cohorts

The number of children born to the couples with wives born in the 1960s has decreased
Considering the average number of births by the age of the wife, it is found that the decrease first appeared around 1990 (between the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ Surveys) for those aged between 25 and 34. Since then, the decline has continued for those over 35
years of age (Figure 2-1). However, the figures among those in their 20s have begun to show an increase from a previously stable level.

Analyzing these trends by the wife's birth cohort, it is found that the fertility of married couples started to decline when the cohort born in the 1960s reached their late 20s (Figure 2-2).

Figure 2-1 Change in the average number of births, by age of wife


Note: In this figure, the area enclosed by a dashed line indicates that a decline in average number of children of married couples can be observed.

Figure 2-2 Average number of births according to the age of wife, by the wife's birth cohort (year of birth)


Note: Comparison of the average number of children born to married women (wives) in each age group, classified by birth cohort. The numerical values indicate those born between 1950 and 1954 and between 1970 and 1975. See Appendix Table 3 (at the end of the document) for complete figures.

## 3. Views on the Number of Children <br> (1) Ideal number of children and intended number of children

Both ideal number of children and intended number of children show a declining trend The current survey shows that the average ideal number of children is lower than that in the previous survey for every group denoting different durations of marriage, with the total number recording less than 2.5 for the first time (Table

3-1, Figure 3-1, 3-2, 3-3). The number of children the couples actually intend to have (average intended number of children) continued to decline since the $9^{\text {th }}$ Survey (1987), recording 2.11, which is also lower than the previous survey. While the intended number of children ceases to fall for the young couples under 10 years of marriage duration, the decrease is obvious for couples who have been married between 10 and 19 years (Table 3-2, Figure 3-1, 3-2, 3-3).

Table 3-1 Average ideal number of children, by survey and duration of marriage

| Length of marriage | 7th Survey <br> $(1977)$ | 8th Survey <br> $(1982)$ | 9th Survey <br> $(1987)$ | 10th Survey <br> $(1992)$ | 11th Survey <br> $(1997)$ | 12th Survey <br> $(2002)$ | 13th Survey <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 0 5 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 years | 2.42 | 2.49 | 2.51 | 2.40 | 2.33 | 2.31 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ |
| 5-9 years | 2.56 | 2.63 | 2.65 | 2.61 | 2.47 | 2.48 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 1}$ |
| 10-14 years | 2.68 | 2.67 | 2.73 | 2.76 | 2.58 | 2.60 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ |
| 15-19 years | 2.67 | 2.66 | 2.70 | 2.71 | 2.60 | 2.69 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 6}$ |
| 20 years or longer | 2.79 | 2.63 | 2.73 | 2.69 | 2.65 | 2.76 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 2}$ |
| Total | 2.61 | 2.62 | 2.67 | 2.64 | 2.53 | 2.56 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ |
| (Number of cases) | $(8,314)$ | $(7,803)$ | $(8,348)$ | $(8,627)$ | $(7,069)$ | $(6,634)$ | $\mathbf{( 5 , 6 3 4 )}$ |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. Data of the previous surveys have been
re-calculated for the purpose of comparison.
Table 3-2 Average intended number of children, by survey and duration of marriage

| Length of marriage | 7th Survey <br> $(1977)$ | 8th Survey <br> $(1982)$ | 9th Survey <br> $(1987)$ | 10th Survey <br> $(1992)$ | 11th Survey <br> $(1997)$ | 12th Survey <br> $(2002)$ | 13th Survey <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 0 5})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 years | 2.08 | 2.22 | 2.28 | 2.14 | 2.11 | 1.99 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 5}$ |
| 5-9 years | 2.17 | 2.21 | 2.25 | 2.18 | 2.10 | 2.07 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 5}$ |
| 10-14 years | 2.18 | 2.18 | 2.20 | 2.25 | 2.17 | 2.10 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 6}$ |
| 15-19 years | 2.13 | 2.21 | 2.19 | 2.18 | 2.22 | 2.22 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ |
| 20 years or longer | 2.30 | 2.21 | 2.24 | 2.18 | 2.19 | 2.28 | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ |
| Total | 2.17 | 2.20 | 2.23 | 2.18 | 2.16 | 2.13 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ |
| (Number of cases) | $(8,129)$ | $(7,784)$ | $(8,024)$ | $(8,351)$ | $(6,472)$ | $(6,564)$ | $\mathbf{( 5 , 6 0 3 )}$ |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. Data of the previous surveys have been re-calculated for the purpose of comparison.

Figure 3-1 Average ideal and intended number of children, by duration of marriage


Note: Graphic representation of the result of the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey compiled from Table 3-1 and Table 3-2. Duration of marriage of 20 years or longer is excluded.

Figure 3-2 Change in the average ideal and intended number of children, by survey


Figure 3-3 Change in the average ideal and intended number of children, by survey: Couples married for 0 to four years


The intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children among couples who already have two children
Considering the ideal and intended number of children of the couples who already have some children, it can be seen that the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children
among couples who have two children, while the intended number of children generally corresponds to the ideal number of children for couples with three children. For couples who have more than four children, the ideal number of children becomes less than the number of children they already have (Figure 3-4).

Figure 3-4 Average ideal and intended number of children, by the number of children already born


Table 3-3 Reasons why the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children, classified by age of wife
(Multiple Answers)

| Age of wife (Number of cases) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-29 ( 115) | 83.5\% | 6.1 | 20.0 | 27.8 | 4.3 | 7.8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 16.5 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 30-34 ( 329) | 78.7 | 18.2 | 24.6 | 21.9 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 11.9 |
| 35-39 ( 464) | 75.0 | 40.1 | 26.5 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 16.8 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 8.6 |
| 40-49 ( 897) | 54.0 | 49.2 | 18.2 | 14.3 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Total (1,825) | 65.9\% | 38.0 | 21.6 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total of the } \\ & \text { 12th Survey } \end{aligned}$ | 62.9\% | 33.2 | 21.8 | 17.1 | 19.7 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 11.5 |

Note: The percentage of couples whose intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children is $35.3 \%$ (excluding not stated). The figures under 25 years of age are excluded, although they are included in the total. See Appendix Table 4 (at the end of the document) for the complete figures.

## (2) Reasons why the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children

The most cited reason why the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children is "It costs too much"
Couples list "It costs too much to raise and educate children" most frequently as a reason why they do not have the ideal number of children. The number of couples in the age group between 25 and

39 who cite this reason is greater than that in the previous Survey. "Interference with one's job or business" exceeds $20 \%$ for the age group between 25 and 34. The number of respondents who cited "Can't gain husband's cooperation with household chores and childrearing" and "Husband does not want it" increased in the group up to 40 years of age (Table 3-3. See Appendix Table 4 for the comparison with the previous Survey between the age groups).

The reason why the couples cannot realize their ideal of a large number of children is because of economic factors and the reason why couples have a small number of children is because they cannot conceive a child
Among cases in which the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children， the majority of the couples（55．3\％）state that the ideal number is three but the intended number two，and they tend to cite the reason as＂It costs too much＂（ $74.7 \%$ ）．This is followed by couples whose ideal number is two and intended number one（ $20.4 \%$ ）．An increasing number of couples cite the reason for this gap as＂Want to have a child but cannot conceive one＂（ $26.9 \%$ ），whereas a decreasing number of couples cite＂Cost＂（54．8\％）． In general，the couples whose intended number of children is small（none or one child）tend to cite ＂Want to have a child but cannot conceive one＂as a major reason，and the couples whose intended number is two or more and ideal number is more than two or more tend to cite＂Cost＂and＂Small house＂（Table 3－4）．

## 4．Child－rearing Conditions

## （1）Wives＇employment and fertility

The proportion of wives who raise children while working is under twenty percent for those who have been married less than five years and forty percent for those who have been married between five and nine years
Among wives who were employed before mar－ riage and have been married for less than 5 years， $45.5 \%$ are still employed and $53.9 \%$ are house－ wives，and the proportion of working mothers is $18.6 \%$ ，among which only $11.0 \%$ are in regular employment．When compared to the previous Survey，the proportion of working mothers has increased among those who have been married for 5－9 years，indicating a tendency of more women returning to work while their children are still small．However，the range of increase is small for regularly employed mothers；so，the great majority of the increase is believed to be due to the increase in mothers in non－regular employment．Among couples who have been married for 10－14 years， the proportion of working mothers（54．4\％）is higher than that of non－working mothers（ $36.8 \%$ ） （Table 4－1，Figure 4－1）．

Table 3－4 Reasons why the intended number of children is less than the ideal number of children，by different combinations of intended and ideal numbers of children

|  |  |  | Reasons why they do not have the ideal number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combination of intended and ideal number of children |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $\#$ $\#$ 0 |  |  | Interference with one＇s job or business |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | O $\stackrel{0}{0}$薷 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ ． <br> 氺若硕 |
| Ideal：one or more－Intended：none | 4．1\％ | （74） | 21．6\％ | 37.8 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 16.2 | 58.1 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 10.8 |
| Ideal：two－Intended：one | 20.4 | （372） | 54.8 | 36.3 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 26.9 | 9.9 | 14.8 | 15.9 |
| Ideal：three or more－Intended：one | 6.5 | （119） | 33.6 | 44.5 | 20.2 | 13.4 | 26.1 | 41.2 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 10.1 |
| Ideal：three－Intended：two | 55.3 | $(1,010)$ | 74.7 | 36.8 | 23.4 | 18.8 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 13.5 |
| Ideal：four or more－Intended：two | 5.8 | （105） | 80.0 | 40.0 | 22.9 | 21.0 | 21.9 | 7.6 | 27.6 | 21.0 | 22.9 |
| Ideal：four or more－Intended：three | 7.9 | （145） | 72.4 | 44.1 | 25.5 | 13.8 | 11.0 | 4.1 | 23.4 | 13.8 | 6.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | $(1,825)$ | 65.9 | 38.0 | 21.6 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 13.6 |

Note：The reasons with less than $10 \%$ of responses in total are omitted．

Table 4-1 Employment of wife, with or without children, by survey/duration of marriage (For wives who were employed before marriage)

| Duration of marriage/Survey (Survey year) |  | Total (Number of cases) |  | Employment status of wife |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Working | Regular employment (re-listed) |  | Housewife |  |
|  |  | Without children | With children | Without children | With children | Without children | With children |
| $0 \sim 4$ | 10th Survey(1992) |  |  | 100.0 \% | $(1,462)$ | 23.3 \% | 14.8 | 15.5 | 9.3 | 15.7 | 45.6 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) |  |  | 100.0 | $(1,215)$ | 26.0 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 8.1 | 15.7 | 42.3 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,281)$ | 26.6 | 18.1 | 17.5 | 10.7 | 13.0 | 41.3 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | ( 935) | 26.8 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 42.1 |
| $5 \sim 9$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,473)$ | 5.6 | 35.3 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 2.8 | 55.7 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,219)$ | 8.0 | 35.6 | 3.2 | 11.6 | 2.5 | 52.5 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,160)$ | 6.8 | 34.1 | 2.9 | 13.9 | 3.8 | 54.3 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,107)$ | 8.3 | 40.2 | 3.4 | 14.7 | 2.8 | 47.7 |
| $10 \sim 14$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,512)$ | 3.2 | 51.7 | 1.7 | 20.6 | 1.3 | 43.1 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,223)$ | 3.4 | 56.3 | 1.4 | 15.1 | 2.0 | 36.7 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,124)$ | 3.6 | 55.5 | 1.5 | 15.5 | 1.6 | 38.2 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,132)$ | 5.4 | 54.4 | 2.3 | 16.9 | 2.0 | 36.8 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples whose wives were employed before marriage (excluding couples for whom the number of children is not known). The current working status of the wife is defined as follows:
Working: employed before marriage and employed now
Regular employment: In regular employment before marriage and in regular employment now ("regular" employees include dispatched employees and contract employees). Housewife: employed before marriage and not employed now. Those for whom employment status is not known are included in the total. The proportion of wives who were working before marriage was $91.6 \%$ in the $10^{\text {th }}$ Survey, $93.5 \%$ in the $11^{\text {th }}$ Survey, $84.8 \%$ in the $12^{\text {th }}$ Survey, and $86.0 \%$ in the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey.

Figure 4-1 Employment status of wife, with or without children, by survey/duration of marriage (For wives who were employed before marriage)


The number of wives who continue working after giving birth has not increased
When investigating the employment status of wives who have given birth to their first child, it is found that the number of women who continued working using child-care leave has increased, while the number of those who continued working has remained at the same level of around $25 \%$ since the later half of the 1980s (Figure 4-2).

## There is no significant difference in the number of births according to the wife's employment history

No significant difference is found in the relationship between the wife's employment history and the number of births among the couples with a child one year of age or older. The current Survey shows that when wives continue to hold regular jobs and the duration of the marriage is under five years or between five and nine years, there is a tendency to have slightly fewer children. However, no clear difference is found for the couples who have been married for 10-14 years and 15-19 years (Table 4-2).

Figure 4-2 Employment of wives who have given birth to the first child, by year of childbirth


Note: The figures of the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ surveys for the first-marriage couples with a child aged one or over are combined.
The wife's employment history at the time of childbirth is defined as follows:
Continuous employment (using child-care leave): Employed before becoming pregnant with the first child, took child-care leave and returned to work when the first child was one year old.
Continuous employment (not using child-care leave): Employed before becoming pregnant with the first child, employed at the time the first child was one year old, without taking child-care leave.
Cessation of employment upon becoming pregnant: Employed before becoming pregnant with the first child and non-employed at the time the first child was one year old.
Non-employment before becoming pregnant: Not employed before becoming pregnant with the first child and not employed at the time the first child was one year old.

Table 4-2 Average number of births for couples who have a child aged 1 or over, by wife's employment history, and survey and duration of marriage

| Duration of marriage/ survey (Survey year) |  | Total | Wife's employment history |  |  |  | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Continuous employment pattern | Continuous regular employment pattern (listed again) | Return-towork pattern | Housewifery pattern |  |
|  | 10th Survey(1992) |  | 1.39 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.60 | 1.35 | 678 |
| 4 | 11th Survey(1997) | 1.31 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.46 | 1.30 | 477 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 1.30 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.24 | 1.31 | 579 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 1.39 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 422 |
|  | 10th Survey(1992) | 2.04 | 2.04 | 2.04 | 2.01 | 2.03 | 1,314 |
| 5~9 | 11th Survey(1997) | 1.97 | 2.00 | 1.93 | 1.94 | 1.95 | 1,007 |
| 5~9 | 12th Survey(2002) | 1.93 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.85 | 1.93 | 998 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 1.85 | 1.76 | 1.68 | 1.85 | 1.94 | 936 |
|  | 10th Survey(1992) | 2.32 | 2.41 | 2.34 | 2.26 | 2.29 | 1,407 |
| 10~14 | 11th Survey(1997) | 2.25 | 2.20 | 2.07 | 2.22 | 2.29 | 967 |
| 10~14 | 12th Survey(2002) | 2.16 | 2.19 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 2.11 | 1,034 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 2.15 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 2.17 | 2.21 | 1,005 |
|  | 10th Survey(1992) | 2.29 | 2.35 | 2.29 | 2.27 | 2.20 | 1,561 |
| 15~19 | 11th Survey(1997) | 2.30 | 2.41 | 2.28 | 2.26 | 2.27 | 947 |
| 15~19 | 12th Survey(2002) | 2.32 | 2.33 | 2.19 | 2.34 | 2.28 | 991 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 2.22 | 2.26 | 2.24 | 2.27 | 2.17 | 844 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples with a child aged 1 or over where the wife was working before marriage (excluding the couples who did not state the number of children).
The wife's work history is defined as follows:
Continuous employment pattern: Employed before marriage, employed after bearing first child, employed now Continuous regular employment pattern: Regularly employed before marriage, regularly employed after bearing first child, regularly employed now (including dispatched/contract employment)
Return-to-work pattern: Employed before marriage, non-employed after bearing first child, employed now Housewifery pattern: Employed before marriage, non-employed after bearing first child, non-employed now The total includes those with other work histories and those for whom the employment history is not known. See Appendix Table 5 (at the end of the document) for the patterns of work history.

## (2) Utilization of child-care support systems and facilities

## Use of child-care leave has expanded, though a

 disparity exists by the size of the companyThe proportion of the couples with a child aged 1 or over who have used any kind of child-care support systems or facilities is $39.4 \%$. As for wives who continue to be regularly employed before and after childbirth, $89.6 \%$ of them have used some kind of systems or facilities; particularly high is the rate of use of the childbirth leave system (76.2\%)
and child-care leave system (47.1\%) (Table 4-3) and the use of the latter is on the rise (Figure 43). However, the utilization of these systems and facilities varies, depending on the size of the company: the percentage of those who have used the system is high in large companies and the public sector (Table 4-3). The utilization rate of support systems and facilities is also high for couples who cannot receive support from their parents (Figure 4-4).

Table 4-3 Systems/Facilities used at the birth of the first child (for couples who have a child aged 1 or over)
(Multiple Answers)

| Year of birth of wife / Number of employees at workplace |  | Number of cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | $(4,731)$ | 16.7 \% | 8.9 | 2.9 | 11.2 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 56.0 |
| Those who continue to be regularly employed | Total | ( 753) | 76.2 \% | 47.1 | 16.1 | 31.7 | 20.3 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 9.2 |
|  | Wife's year of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1955 \sim 59$ | ( 181) | 72.4 \% | 30.9 | 12.7 | 25.4 | 16.6 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 6.1 | - | 9.9 | 14.4 |
|  | $1960 \sim 64$ | ( 191) | 75.9 | 37.2 | 14.7 | 30.4 | 25.1 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 7.9 |
|  | $1965 \sim 69$ | ( 174) | 75.9 | 52.9 | 17.2 | 33.9 | 18.4 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 8.0 |
|  | $1970 \sim 74$ | ( 145) | 80.7 | 66.2 | 20.7 | 35.9 | 20.7 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 11.7 | 4.8 |
|  | After 1975 | ( 62) | 79.0 | 64.5 | 16.1 | 38.7 | 21.0 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 11.3 |
|  | Number of employees at wife's workplace (at the point when the first child became 1 year old) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1 \sim 29$ | ( 154) | 60.4 \% | 28.6 | 4.5 | 22.7 | 19.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 9.1 | 16.2 |
|  | $30 \sim 99$ | ( 106) | 66.0 | 36.8 | 7.5 | 25.5 | 19.8 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 8.5 | 17.0 |
|  | $100 \sim 299$ | ( 119) | 79.8 | 37.0 | 19.3 | 29.4 | 16.0 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 10.9 | 6.7 |
|  | $300 \sim 999$ | ( 90) | 85.6 | 55.6 | 17.8 | 31.1 | 22.2 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  | More than 1000 | ( 132) | 80.3 | 55.3 | 20.5 | 40.2 | 18.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
|  | Public service sector | ( 140) | 90.0 | 70.0 | 27.1 | 41.4 | 27.1 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 2.9 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples with a child aged 1 or older. Here, "Those who continue to be regularly employed" is defined as those who were in regular employment at the two time-points: one, "when the pregnancy of the first child became known" and two, "when the first child became one year old." Regular employees include "dispatched employees and contract employees." Those who did not state the number of employees at their work place were omitted.

Figure 4-3 Proportion of users of child-care leave systems, by year of childbirth (First child/Wives in continuous regular employment)


Note: Subjects are wives who continue to be in regular employment listed in Table 4-3.
Figures are calculated by combining the data for the couples in the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ Surveys.

Figure 4-4 Use of child support systems/facilities by the availability of parental assistance (First child/Wife in continuous employment)


Note: Subjects are wives who continue to be in regular employment listed in Table 4-3. "With child-care support from mother(s) of the couple" refers to the cases where the mother of the wife and/or the husband provided child-care help daily or frequently until the first child became 3 years old.

Figure 4-5 Systems and facilities the couples want to use when a child is born in the future, by the intended number of additional children (Multiple Answers)


Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. Those for whom the intended number of additional children is not known are omitted.

The higher the intended number of additional children is, the more couples desire to use short working hours system, daycare centers, and temporary care centers
With respect to the intention of using systems and facilities when a child is born in the future, the more children the couples intend to have in the future, the stronger the intention of using childbirth/childcare leave, and daycare or temporary care centers (Figure 4-5).

## (3) Parental Assistance in Childcare and Fertility

 The availability of child-care assistance by the couple's parent(s) determines the number of births in the futureWhen child-care assistance was given by the mother(s) of the couple for the first child, the additional number of births afterwards tends to be higher (Figure 4-6).

## 5. Health as Related to Pregnancy and Childbirth <br> (1) Concerns about infertility and treatment experience

One out of four couples have worried about infertility; slightly less than half of the childless couples have concerns
The percentage of couples who have worried/are worried about infertility remains at the same level as the previous survey at $25.8 \%$ ( $26.1 \%$ in the previous survey). The percentage reaches $44.7 \%$ (previous survey $48.2 \%$ ) for childless couples. The percentage of the couples who have undergone (or are undergoing) treatment is $13.4 \%$ in total and $24.3 \%$ for childless couples (Figure 5-1).

Figure 4-6 Average number of births with/without child-care assistance given by the couple's mother(s), by duration of marriage/employment history (for couples with a child aged 1 or over)


Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples with a child aged 1 or over, and the wife was employed before marriage (excluding those who did not state the number of children). Calculation is made by combining the data of the $12^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}$ Surveys. "With assistance" is defined as the child-care assistance given "frequently" or "on a daily basis" by the mother of the wife and/or the husband until the first child became 3 years old. See the footnote of Table 4-2 for employment history.

Table 5-1 Concerns about infertility and treatment experience, by presence/absence of child and wife's age

| With/without child Age of wife |  | Total (Number of cases) |  |  | Concerns | about infert | lity/treatment | experience |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Have never worried about infertility | Have worried about infertility | Have not visited medical institution | Have undergone examination or treatment | (Re-listed) <br> Are <br> undergoing treatment | Not <br> Stated | Not Known |
| Total | Total |  |  | 100.0\% | $(5,932)$ | 63.8\% | 25.8 | 12.1 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 10.4 |
|  | 20~29 | 100.0 | (708) | 66.7 | 24.0 | 13.4 | 10.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 9.3 |
|  | $30 \sim 39$ | 100.0 | $(2,577)$ | 61.9 | 29.8 | 15.3 | 14.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 8.3 |
|  | $40 \sim 49$ | 100.0 | $(2,644)$ | 64.8 | 22.4 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 12.8 |
| Childless couples | Total | 100.0 | (745) | 49.0 | 44.7 | 19.6 | 24.3 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 6.3 |
|  | 20~29 | 100.0 | (215) | 64.2 | 33.0 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 2.8 |
|  | 30~39 | 100.0 | (345) | 46.4 | 46.1 | 20.3 | 24.6 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 7.5 |
|  | $40 \sim 49$ | 100.0 | (184) | 35.9 | 56.0 | 22.8 | 33.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| (Reference) 12th Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 100.0\% | $(6,949)$ | 58.3\% | 26.1 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 15.6 |
| Childless couples |  | 100.0 | (881) | 41.2 | 48.2 | 21.7 | 25.5 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 10.6 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. Data for couples with wives aged under 19 are not shown, but are included in the total. "Have worried" includes "are worried at present," and "have undergone examination or treatment" includes "are undergoing at present."

Figure 5-1 Ideal and intended number of children, number of current children and stillbirths, by concerns about infertility/treatment experience (Duration of Marriage: 5-9 years)


Concerns about infertility/Treatment experience
Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples, excluding those for whom the ideal, intended, current number of children and number of stillbirths are not known.

The couples who have worried about infertility tend to have fewer children and more stillbirths When the ideal/intended number of children, births (number of current children) and stillbirths are compared by the presence of concerns about infertility and treatment experience, there is not much difference in the ideal number and intended number of children, but the couples who worry about infertility tend to have fewer children and more stillbirths (Figure 5-1).

## (2) Health of wife as related to pregnancy and childbirth

One out of four wives has health issues associated with pregnancy and childbirth
It is found that one out of four wives (24.3\%) has some health issues and disorders related to pregnancy and childbirth, as shown in the Table below. When considered by employment status, more wives with long working hours tend to have problems or disorders than those who are not employed or who work shorter hours (Table 5-2).

Couples with wives who have health issues tend to have fewer births and fewer additional children they intend to have
When the ideal/intended number of children, births (current number of children) and stillbirths are compared by wife's health related to pregnancy and childbirth, the couples with wives having health issues tend to have fewer births and fewer additional children they intend to have (Figure 52).

Table 5-2 Health as related to pregnancy and childbirth, by wife's age/working hours

| Wife's age Working hours | Total | (Number of cases) |  | (Multiple Answers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0\% | $(5,932)$ | 24.3\% | 8.5 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 59.0 | 16.7 |
| Age of wife |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20~24 | 100.0\% | (134) | 17.9\% | 11.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 67.9 | 14.2 |
| 25~29 | 100.0 | (574) | 19.7 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 65.9 | 14.5 |
| 30~34 | 100.0 | $(1,205)$ | 22.4 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 63.2 | 14.4 |
| 35~39 | 100.0 | $(1,372)$ | 19.5 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 63.9 | 16.6 |
| $40 \sim 44$ | 100.0 | $(1,350)$ | 26.2 | 8.5 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 54.6 | 19.2 |
| 45~49 | 100.0 | $(1,294)$ | 31.9 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 17.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 50.3 | 17.8 |

Wife's working hours per week (Women aged 30-39)

| Non-employed | $100.0 \%$ | $(1,160)$ | $20.2 \%$ | 7.6 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 66.0 | 13.9 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ hours | 100.0 | $(231)$ | 19.9 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 60.6 | 19.5 |
| 20-29 hours | 100.0 | $(293)$ | 20.8 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 64.9 | 14.3 |
| $30-39$ hours | 100.0 | $(240)$ | 21.7 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 65.4 | 12.9 |
| 40-49 hours | 100.0 | $(407)$ | 22.6 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 63.9 | 13.5 |
| 50 hours or longer | 100.0 | $(107)$ | 26.2 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 56.1 | 17.8 |

[^1]Figure 5-2 Ideal, intended, current number of children, and stillbirths, by health status of wife (Duration of Marriage: 5-9 years)


Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples, excluding those for whom the ideal, intended and current number of children and stillbirths are not known. The item, "With problems and disorders (excluding 'prone to miscarriage')," that's listed here again, indicates the figure for wives who respond that they have health issues other than being prone to miscarriage.

Table 6-1 Wife's views on marriage and family

| Views on marriage and family | Agree |  |  | Disagree |  |  | Not known | Total(Number ofcases)$(5,932)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Absolutely agree | Agree to some extent |  | Absolutely disagree | Disagree to some extent |  |  |
| (1) <br> It is not desirable to remain single for one's entire life | 52.2 \% | 12.1 | 40.1 | 39.8 \% | 8.6 | 31.2 | 8.1 \% | 100.0 \% |
| (2) Men and Women should marry if they live together | 68.9 | 18.9 | 50.1 | 24.0 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| (3) Unmarried couples may have sexual intercourse if they love each other | 77.2 | 27.6 | 49.6 | 15.4 | 3.2 | 12.2 | 7.4 | 100.0 |
| (4) Manhood and womanhood are necessary to some extent in any society | 85.6 | 39.8 | 45.8 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 |
| One ought to have personal goals even after (5) getting married, other than those of the partner or other members of the family | 81.1 | 30.7 | 50.4 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
| (6) <br> It is natural that one should sacrifice half of one's own personality or lifestyle for the family | 40.4 | 5.6 | 34.8 | 52.5 | 14.0 | 38.5 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| (7) <br> Husbands should work and wives should take care of the home after marriage | 28.7 | 3.3 | 25.4 | 63.9 | 25.9 | 38.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 |
| (8) One should have children if one gets married | 71.2 | 19.4 | 51.8 | 20.9 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 100.0 |
| It is desirable that mothers should not work and (9) should stay home at least when their children are young | 71.8 | 22.7 | 49.1 | 21.4 | 6.6 | 14.8 | 6.8 | 100.0 |
| (10) No one should get divorced for a small reason such as incompatible personalities | 51.0 | 12.7 | 38.2 | 41.2 | 12.1 | 29.1 | 7.9 | 100.0 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples

## 6. Wives' Views on Marriage and Family (1) Pattern of married women's views

The current Survey investigated the views of wives concerning marriage, family and male-female relations and the relationship between these views and childbirth.

Views of married women: A view of the family that gives priority to children while valuing personal goals
More than three quarters of the wives agree to the following views (Table 6-1): "(3) Approve
premarital sex," "(4) Manliness and womanliness are necessary" and "(5) Should have personal goals after marriage." About $40 \%$ of them approve of staying single throughout one's life (1) and getting divorced (10) and approximately half of them disagree with the idea "Marriage entails sacrifice (6)." While about $60 \%$ disagree with the idea "(7) Husbands should work and wives should stay home," approximately $70 \%$ agree to the ideas that [if married, one]"(8) Should have children" and "(2)Should marry if cohabiting."

## (2) Changes in married women's views

When looking at the change in views since the $10^{\text {th }}$ Survey (1992), the increasing trend of seeing marriage based on a freedom-oriented notion has halted, whereas the number of those who adopt the traditional view positively has increased slightly. However, the view of the family regarding on children continues to change.

Fluctuations in the changing trend of views on marriage thus far
The percentage of those who think that "(1) Stay single throughout one's life is not desirable,"
which has been on the decline until the previous Survey, increases in this Survey. The decline in the percentage of those who agree with the idea of "(2) Marriage instead of cohabitation" and the increase in the percentage of those who agree with the idea of "(3) Approve premarital intercourse," which had been observed until the previous Survey, are not prominent in this Survey. The percentage of those who think "(10) Divorce is not desirable (no graphic figure is presented)" has drastically declined between 1992 and 1997, but no significant change has been observed since then.

Figure 6-1 It is not desirable to remain single for one's entire life


Figure 6-2 Men and women should marry if they live together


Figure 6-3 Unmarried couples may have sexual intercourse if they love each other


Fluctuations in the attitudes towards marital life The percentage of those who agree with the idea of "(5) Should have personal goals even after marriage" had been on the rise until the last Survey; however, this is not seen in this Survey. The idea
that "(6) Marriage entails sacrifice" continues to decline between 1992 and 1997, and rises after that. However, the percentage of those who support the idea of "(8) Should have children" has continued a decreasing trend.

Figure 6-4 It is natural that one should sacrifice half of one's own personality or lifestyle for the family


Figure 6-5 One should have children if one gets married


Fluctuations in the trend of changes in the views of the roles of husband and wife thus far, and stability in the trend of change in the opinion on the role of mothers
The percentage of those who support the idea of a separation of roles between husband and wife, "(7)

Husband should work while wife stays home," has been decreasing until the current Survey, which shows a slight increase in support. The supporters of the opinion, "(9) Desirable that mothers stay home" has continued to decline.

Figure 6-6 Husbands should work and wives should take care of the home after marriage


Figure 6-7 It is desirable that mothers should not work and should stay home at least when their children are young


## Summary

The National Fertility Survey is a national representative sample survey with the objectives of investigating and measuring the current situations, background and views of marriage and fertility of married couples in Japan, and obtaining the basic data necessary for the formulation of relevant policies and the understanding of demographic trends. The Survey consists of a survey on single people and a survey on married couples. This report covers the results of the survey on married couples conducted as part of the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey.

The survey was conducted as of the date of June 1, 2005, over married couples with wives aged under 49 throughout the country. The number of distributed questionnaires was 7,976 , with 6,836 valid questionnaires (valid collection rate $85.7 \%$ ). Among them 5,932 subjects of the firstmarriage couples are analyzed in this paper on subjects such as:
(1) Socio-economic attributes of married couples
(2) Aspects related to the marriage process
(3) Aspects related to history of pregnancy/ childbearing of married couples
(4) Married couples' views on the number of children
(5) Aspects related to child-rearing conditions (employment status of wife, use of systems and facilities, support from parents)
(6) Aspects of health as associated with pregnancy/childbirth
(7) Wives' views on marriage and family

Points of the survey results are as follows. The table and the figure with an * mark indicates new survey items in this round of the survey.

## Marriage of Couples

The age of the first encounter has become higher, period of courtship lengthened and the trend towards later marriage further strengthened As a result of increase in the age of first encounter of couples (both males and females) and a lengthened period of courtship, the trend of late marriage is further advanced (Table 1-1).

The number of couples who met at the workplace decreases, and the largest number met through friends and siblings
The most common place to meet a future spouse is "Through friends/spouse," exceeding $30 \%$ for the first time, and overtaking "At the workplace or through work" (less than $30 \%$ ) as the top answer (Table 1-2).

## Fertility of Married Couples

The number of children of married couples who are finished with childbearing has decreased
Completed fertility of married couples has been stable at around 2.2 during the 1970 s, but it declines to 2.09 in the current Survey, which targeted couples who got married in the latter half of the 1980s (Table 2-1). The percentage of couples with three children has decreased, whereas the percentage of those with none or one child has increased slightly (Table 2-2).

The number of births has decreased for couples in the period of family formation
Couples married between 5-14 years and who are still in the period of family formation also tend to have fewer children (Table 2-3).

Fertility of couples with wives born in the 1960s has declined
Considering the birth cohort of wife (year of birth), it can be seen that the fertility of couples with wives born in the 1960s has decreased. However, the decline in fertility of couples with wives born in the 1970s has somewhat ceased (Figure 2-2).

## Views on the Number of Children

Decrease in the ideal number of children, and continuation of a trend of decrease in the intended number of children, albeit on a small scale
The ideal number of children (average number of children considered ideal) decreases, recording less than 2.5 for the first time ( 2.48 in this Survey and 2.56 in the previous survey) (Table 3-1, Figure 3-1). The number of children the couples actually intend to have (average intended number of children) continues to decrease, recording 2.11 ( 2.13 in the previous Survey) (Table 3-2, Figure 3-1).

The most cited reason why the intended number of children falls short of the ideal number of children is "It costs money to raise a child" With respect to the reasons why couples do not have the ideal number of children, "it costs too much to raise and educate children" is cited most frequently ( $65.9 \%$ ), followed by the issue of age ( $38.0 \%$ ), and mental and physical burden (21.6\%) (Table 3-3). In general, many of the couples who have a high ideal number of children but cannot actually have them cite economic reasons, and many of the couples who intend to have fewer children cite "because they cannot conceive one (Table 3-4*).

## Child-Rearing Conditions

Under $20 \%$ of wives who have been married for less than 5 years raise children while working The proportion of wives married less than 5 years who are employed while engaging in child-rearing is under $20 \%$ ( $18.6 \%$ ), and many wives stop working upon childbirth. The number of wives who return to work increases (Table 4-1, Figure 4-1, Figure 4-2). The final number of children seems uncorrelated with employment work history of wives, but the number is relatively low for young couples with employed wives (Table 4-2).

Use of child-care leave has increased, though a disparity exists depending on the size of the company
Among couples with wives in regular employment, the younger the couple is, the higher the rate of taking child-care leave. However, there is a disparity in the rate of usage, depending on the size of the company one is employed in; the larger company is, the higher the rate of use is (Table 4-3). In general, the use of child-support systems and facilities is frequent among the couples with little support from their parents (Figure 4-4). The more children the couples want to have in the future, the more they want to use the systems (Figure 4-5*)

Health related to pregnancy and childbirth One out of four couples have worried about infertility: $13 \%$ of couples have experienced examination/treatment
One out of four couples ( $25.8 \%$ ) has worried about infertility and $13.4 \%$ of them have gone through infertility examination or treatment (Table 5-1). There is little difference between the ideal and intended number of children for the couples who have worried about infertility, but they tend to have fewer children and more stillbirths (Figure 5-1*).

One out of four wives has health issues associated with pregnancy and childbirth One out of four wives ( $24.3 \%$ ) has some health issues and disorders related to pregnancy and childbirth. When compared by employment status, women with longer working hours tend to have problems or disorders, compared with those without work or with short working hours (Table 5-2*). Wives with health issues have fewer children and also show a tendency to intend to have fewer children (Figure 5-2*).

Views of Wives on Marriage and Family Signs of fluctuation in the trend of changes thus far in the views of married women Married women today generally value personal goals and at the same time, exhibit a view of the family that places the child(ren) at the center. However, the current Survey shows the halting of changes observed so far in many items, indicating therefore an incipient fluctuation in the flow of changing views (Table 6-1).

## [APPENDIX]

Table 1 Percentage of love marriage/arranged marriage, by year of marriage

| Year of marriage | Total (Number of cases) |  | Love marriage | Arranged marriage Othery Natt kmow k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1930 \sim 39$ | $100.0 \%$ | $(583)$ | $13.4 \%$ | 69.0 | 17.7 |
| $1940 \sim 44$ | 100.0 | $(556)$ | 14.6 | 69.1 | 16.4 |
| $1945 \sim 49$ | 100.0 | $(960)$ | 21.4 | 59.8 | 18.9 |
| $1950 \sim 54$ | 100.0 | $(992)$ | 33.1 | 53.9 | 13.0 |
| $1955 \sim 59$ | 100.0 | $(1,275)$ | 36.2 | 54.0 | 9.9 |
| $1960 \sim 64$ | 100.0 | $(1,578)$ | 41.1 | 49.8 | 9.1 |
| $1965 \sim 69$ | 100.0 | $(1,819)$ | 48.7 | 44.9 | 6.4 |
| $1970 \sim 74$ | 100.0 | $(2,078)$ | 61.5 | 33.1 | 5.5 |
| $1975 \sim 79$ | 100.0 | $(1,485)$ | 66.7 | 30.4 | 2.9 |
| $1980 \sim 84$ | 100.0 | $(1,519)$ | 72.6 | 24.9 | 2.5 |
| $1985 \sim 89$ | 100.0 | $(1,547)$ | 80.2 | 17.7 | 2.1 |
| $1990 \sim 94$ | 100.0 | $(1,312)$ | 84.8 | 12.7 | 2.6 |
| $1995 \sim 99$ | 100.0 | $(1,474)$ | 87.2 | 7.7 | 5.1 |
| $2000 \sim 05$ | 100.0 | $(1,182)$ | 87.2 | 6.2 | 6.6 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. The results are based on the data of the $7^{\text {th }}$ Survey (for 1930-1939 to 1970-
1974), the $8^{\text {th }}$ Survey (for 1975-1979), the $9^{\text {th }}$ Survey (for 1980-1984), the $10^{\text {th }}$ Survey (for 1985-1989), the $11^{\text {th }}$ Survey (for 1990-1994), the $12^{\text {th }}$ Survey (1995-1999), and the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey (2000-2005).

Table 2 Percentage of married couples, by duration of marriage, number of births, and survey

| Surbey (Survey years) | Total (Number of <br> cases) | None | 1 child | 2 children | 3 children | 4 children <br> or more |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration of Marriage <br> $0 \sim 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th Survey (1977) | $100.0 \%(1,841)$ | $28.2 \%$ | 50.8 | 20.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 8th Survey (1982) | 100.0 | $(1,291)$ | 39.0 | 42.4 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 100.0 | $(1,408)$ | 31.9 | 44.7 | 22.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,521)$ | 38.9 | 43.4 | 17.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,273)$ | 42.6 | 44.7 | 12.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,468)$ | 40.0 | 46.6 | 12.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,062)$ | 38.3 | 44.7 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| 5~9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th Survey (1977) | 100.0 | $(1,957)$ | 4.2 | 17.3 | 61.8 | 15.2 | 1.5 |
| 8th Survey (1982) | 100.0 | $(1,757)$ | 4.3 | 16.0 | 61.1 | 17.4 | 1.1 |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 100.0 | $(1,608)$ | 4.7 | 15.0 | 60.6 | 18.4 | 1.2 |
| 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,549)$ | 8.6 | 18.1 | 55.8 | 15.7 | 1.7 |
| 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,276)$ | 10.3 | 21.0 | 53.6 | 13.9 | 1.2 |
| 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,325)$ | 10.5 | 23.6 | 51.5 | 13.4 | 1.0 |
| 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,233)$ | 11.3 | 26.7 | 51.1 | 10.1 | 0.9 |
| 10~14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7th Survey (1977) | 100.0 | $(1,637)$ | 3.5 | 11.2 | 55.5 | 25.6 | 4.2 |
| 8th Survey (1982) | 100.0 | $(1,642)$ | 2.6 | 10.2 | 59.8 | 24.1 | 3.4 |
| 9th Survey (1987) | 100.0 | $(1,920)$ | 3.4 | 9.9 | 58.7 | 24.3 | 3.7 |
| 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,642)$ | 4.8 | 9.5 | 51.9 | 30.1 | 3.7 |
| 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,287)$ | 5.5 | 11.6 | 54.2 | 25.2 | 3.6 |
| 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,280)$ | 5.0 | 16.3 | 52.3 | 23.2 | 3.2 |
| 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,288)$ | 7.6 | 15.5 | 51.7 | 22.3 | 3.0 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples (excluding those for whom the number of children is not known) who have been married for less than 15 years.

Table 3 Average number of births, by wife's year of birth and by survey

| Age of wife / year of birth wife | $\begin{gathered} 1925 ~ \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1930 \sim \\ 1934 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1935 ~ \\ 1939 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1940 ~ \\ 1944 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1945 \sim \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1950 \sim \\ 1954 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1955 \sim \\ 1959 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1960 ~ \\ 1964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1965 ~ \\ 1969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1970 ~ \\ 1974 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1975 \sim \\ 1979 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1980 ~ \\ 1984 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $22.5 \sim 27.5$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.89 |
| $27.5 \sim 32.5$ |  |  |  |  | 1.70 | 1.72 | 1.69 | 1.43 | 1.22 | 1.21 | 1.09 |  |
| $32.5 \sim 37.5$ |  |  |  | 2.11 | 2.10 | 2.09 | 2.01 | 1.89 | 1.73 | 1.51 |  |  |
| $37.5 \sim 42.5$ |  |  | 2.18 | 2.19 | 2.14 | 2.18 | 2.13 | 2.07 | 1.87 |  |  |  |
| $42.5 \sim 47.5$ |  | 2.22 | 2.20 | 2.24 | 2.15 | 2.19 | 2.18 | 2.01 |  |  |  |  |
| $47.5 \sim 50$ | 2.39 | 2.20 | 2.18 | 2.20 | 2.11 | 2.19 | 2.16 |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: The results are based on the data from the $7^{\text {th }}$ Survey (1977) to the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey (2005). Numbers in bold represent the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey. Those under 22.5 were not shown because of the small number in the sample. Since the interval between the $12^{\text {th }}$ Survey and $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey is short, the number of children given in the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey was taken at the time when the wife was two years younger than those listed in the Table (for example, "22.5-27.5 years of age" in the Table corresponds to "20.5-25.5 years of age" in the $13^{\text {th }}$ Survey.)

Table 4 Reasons why couples do not intend to have the ideal number of children; Why the intended number is less than the ideal number

| (Multiple Answers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of wife |  | Number of cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\#$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | चす |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13th } \\ & \text { Survey } \end{aligned}$ | Under 25 | ( 20) | 80.0\% | - | 20.0 | 20.0 | - | - | 15.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
|  | $25 \sim 29$ | ( 115) | 83.5 | 6.1 | 20.0 | 27.8 | 4.3 | 7.8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 16.5 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
|  | $30 \sim 34$ | ( 329) | 78.7 | 18.2 | 24.6 | 21.9 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 11.2 |
|  | $35 \sim 39$ | ( 464) | 75.0 | 40.1 | 26.5 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 16.8 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
|  | $40 \sim 44$ | ( 485) | 56.3 | 52.8 | 20.8 | 14.6 | 21.9 | 20.4 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 5.2 |
|  | $45 \sim 49$ | ( 412) | 51.2 | 44.9 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 19.7 | 18.4 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 11.4 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 9.2 |
|  | Total | $(1,825)$ | 65.9\% | 38.0 | 21.6 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12th } \\ & \text { Survey } \end{aligned}$ | Under 25 | ( 21) | 81.0\% | 4.8 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 23.8 | - | 14.3 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 14.3 | - |
|  | $25 \sim 29$ | ( 186) | 81.7 | 7.5 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 11.8 | 5.4 | 20.4 | 13.4 | 28.0 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 18.3 | 7.0 |
|  | $30 \sim 34$ | ( 417) | 75.5 | 16.5 | 25.7 | 21.8 | 15.1 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 9.4 | 27.1 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 17.3 | 6.5 |
|  | 35~39 | ( 525) | 59.2 | 42.1 | 25.5 | 18.1 | 19.0 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 13.5 | 20.2 | 12.4 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 6.7 |
|  | $40 \sim 44$ | ( 516) | 57.8 | 40.7 | 20.3 | 15.1 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 17.1 | 11.6 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 4.7 |
|  | 45~49 | ( 469) | 53.1 | 41.4 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 23.0 | 17.3 | 9.2 | 11.9 | 15.6 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
|  | Total | $(2,134)$ | 62.9\% | 33.2 | 21.8 | 17.1 | 19.7 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 20.4 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 11.5 | 5.6 |

Note: Couples whose intended number of children is less than their ideal number were surveyed. Because of multiple answers, the sum exceeds $100 \%$.

Table 5 Couples with a child aged 1 or over, by wife's employment history, survey and duration of marriage

| Length of marriage / Survey (Survey year) |  |  |  | Wife's employment history |  |  |  | Other / <br> Not known |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | (Number of cases) | Continuous employment pattern | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Continuous } \\ \text { regular } \\ \text { employment } \\ \text { pattern (listed } \\ \text { again) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Return-towork pattern | Housewifery pattern |  |
| $0 \sim 4$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0\% | ( 678) | 18.7\% | 11.7 | 6.2 | 66.7 | 8.4 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | ( 477) | 19.3 | 12.8 | 7.3 | 65.6 | 7.8 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | ( 579) | 21.8 | 15.0 | 7.3 | 63.0 | 7.9 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | ( 422) | 22.0 | 15.6 | 6.9 | 58.3 | 12.8 |
| $5 \sim 9$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,314)$ | 21.7 | 12.7 | 15.9 | 52.1 | 10.3 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | $(1,007)$ | 20.8 | 11.2 | 17.8 | 53.6 | 7.8 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | ( 998) | 22.9 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 54.6 | 7.9 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | ( 936) | 23.0 | 12.8 | 20.3 | 45.3 | 11.4 |
| $10 \sim 14$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,407)$ | 24.2 | 12.0 | 27.9 | 37.4 | 10.6 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | ( 967) | 22.1 | 9.6 | 35.1 | 36.7 | 6.1 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | $(1,034)$ | 23.4 | 11.6 | 34.1 | 36.8 | 5.7 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | $(1,005)$ | 20.3 | 11.1 | 36.0 | 34.8 | 8.9 |
| $15 \sim 19$ | 10th Survey(1992) | 100.0 | $(1,561)$ | 29.7 | 13.8 | 39.9 | 21.7 | 8.7 |
|  | 11th Survey(1997) | 100.0 | ( 947) | 24.4 | 10.3 | 41.9 | 27.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 12th Survey(2002) | 100.0 | ( 991) | 28.4 | 12.7 | 43.7 | 22.7 | 5.2 |
|  | 13th Survey(2005) | 100.0 | ( 884) | 25.0 | 11.5 | 46.0 | 22.6 | 6.4 |

Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples with a child aged 1 or over where the wife was employed before marriage (excluding the couples for whom the number of children is not known).
The wife's employment history is defined as follows:
The wife's work history is defined as follows:
Continuous employment pattern: Employed before marriage, employed after bearing first child, employed now
Continuous regular employment pattern: Regularly employed before marriage, regularly employed after bearing first child, regularly employed now (including dispatched/contract employment)
Return-to-work pattern: Employed before marriage, non-employed after bearing first child, employed now
Housewifery pattern: Employed before marriage, non-employed after bearing first child, non-employed now
The total number includes those of other working patterns and those whose working histories are not known.


[^0]:    Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples (excluding couples who did not state the number of births). Data of the past surveys were re-calculated for the purpose of comparison.

[^1]:    Note: Subjects are first-marriage couples. The data of wives aged 15-19 (3 cases) and of those for whom the number of working hours is not known are not shown, but they are included in the total. Gynecological disorders include ovulation disorder, ovarian cyst, fibroid, endometriosis, and infectious diseases. The option "Other" is not shown.

