文献

阿部和俊(1993)「日本の都市の階層性について」[®]人文地理²45-5, pp.534-545
磯田則彦(1993)「1970年代・1980年代における地域間人口移動 - 中国地方を例として - 」[®]人文地理²45-1, pp.24-43
石川義孝(1994)[®]人口移動の計量地理学²古今書院
Ishikawa, Y., and A. J. Fielding(1998) "Explaining the recent migration trends of the Tokyo metropolitan area." Environment and Planning A, vol.30, pp.1797-1814
国立社会保障・人口問題研究所編(1998)[®]1996(平成8)年度 第4回人口移動調査 近年の日本の人口移動²(調査研究報告資料第12号)
大友篤(1996)[®]日本の人口移動 戦後における人口の地域分布変動と地域間移動²大蔵省印刷局.
堤研二(1989)「人口移動研究の課題と視点」[®]人文地理²41-6, p.529-550
渡辺真知子(1994)[®]地域経済と人口²日本評論社
山本千鶴子(1987)「単身赴任者の統計的観察」[®]人口問題研究²181, p.44-53

Reasons for the Recent Migration and their Changes

Masato Shimizu

This paper aims at clarifying some characteristics of the recent migration from the viewpoint of migration reasons. Data used here were obtained from The Third and The Fourth Migration Survey conducted in 1991 and 1996, respectively, by the present National Institute of Population and Social Security Research, Japan. Analyses showed that compared to migration in 1986-1991, moving rates of male in the period 1991-1996 particularly increased for "job-related" reasons in the ages 30-39. For female, the rates of "moved with family" rose in the ages 25-39. On the other hand, major declines were observed in "marriage/divorce" moving rates for female in the late 20s, and in the rates of "educational advance" for both male and female. As backgrounds of these changes, a few factors were pointed out. Firstly, the recent trend of "delayed marriage" played a role of decreasing "marriage/divorce" moving rates. Secondly, the ongoing spatial dispersal of higher educational institutions seemed to promote educational advance to nearby schools and consequently depressed the long-distance migration. Thirdly, the increase of the rate of transfer migration, which formed the major part of the "job-related" migration, seems to be affected by business establishments' recent spatial behaviors. Moving rates increased especially for transfer going up regional hierarchy, eg. metropolitan-bound moves, and for some lateral movements within the same hierarchy areas. Such increase seemed to be influenced by the fact that the average number of headquarters employees increased in the metropolitan areas, while companies with headquarters in lower-hierarchy regions increased the number of their branch offices and the average number of employees per office in the higher- and, to a lesser degree, the same-hierarchy areas.